

ON FUNCTIONS ARISING AS POTENTIALS WITH OSCILLATING SYMBOLS

Alexey N. Karapetyants

Dedicated to Professor A.A. Kilbas on his 60th birthday

Abstract

We provide inversion formula for the potential type operator \mathcal{M}^α with symbol $|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|}$ in the framework of the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\Re \alpha > 0$, and give conditions for $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be represented (in a sense of distributions, in general) as $\mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$ with some density $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 31B90

Key Words and Phrases: potential operator, inversion formula

1. Introduction

Nowadays there is a great deal of research devoted to the potential type operators - Riesz potential \mathbb{I}^α and its modifications (see [22], [23], [5], [6], [7], [8], [20], books [21], [10] and review papers [17], [18], [19]). The kernels of such operators have singularities at the origin and at infinity. Recall that the symbol of the Riesz potential \mathbb{I}^α is $\gamma_\alpha |\xi|^{-\alpha}$, where γ_α is some normalizing constant. Many aspects of such potential type operators theory are well studied.

In this paper we study the potential type operator \mathcal{M}^α with symbol $|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|}$. It is obvious that when inserting oscillating function into multiplier, that is, when replacing the symbol $|\xi|^{-\alpha}$ with the symbol $|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|}$, we essentially change the boundedness properties. The kernel $\Omega_\alpha(x) = (F^{-1}|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|})(x)$ has singularities on the unit sphere in \mathbb{T}^{n-1} in \mathbb{R}^n and at infinity, and is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. The investigation of such operators is a natural continuation of the above mentioned study of the Riesz potential and its modifications.

Note that operators with symbols $\chi(|\xi|)|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|}$, $0 \leq \alpha < n$ were considered in [15], [16] in connection to Cauchy problem for the wave equation. Here $\chi(r)$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and $\chi(r) = 0$ when $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $\chi(r) = 1$, when $r \geq 2$. In these papers it was described the set of pairs $(1/p, 1/q)$ for which the corresponding operator is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Similar result for the operator \mathcal{M}^α was established in [28] (see also [26]) for $\frac{n-1}{2} < \Re \alpha < n$, $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{\Re \alpha}$ and in [27] for $0 < \Re \alpha < n$, $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{\Re \alpha}$. More precisely, the ultimate paper treats general operators with symbols $a(|\xi|)|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|}$, where $a(|\xi|)$ is a fixed function satisfying some natural conditions. Below we cite boundedness results from [27] for \mathcal{M}^α for the sake of completeness.

The main results of this note are the following. We provide inversion formula for \mathcal{M}^α in the framework of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\Re \alpha > 0$. Further, we study the question when $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is represented (in a sense of distributions, in general) as $\mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$ with some density $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that for $0 < \Re \alpha < n$, $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{\Re \alpha}$ the inversion of \mathcal{M}^α was studied in [24], though here we are not bounded by the mentioned range of parameters p, α . Note also that inversion problem for even more general operators was studied in [25]. The idea of this work is that in the considered case we can significantly simplify the corresponding constructions and use different methods to present new ideas in a more simpler and explicit form.

2. Preliminaries

Schwartz spaces of rapidly decreasing smooth functions in \mathbb{R}^n will be denoted by $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and corresponding space of tempered distributions - by $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will also use special spaces of test functions and distributions introduced and studied by P.I. Lizorkin (see [3]). The P.I. Lizorkin space $\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of test functions consists of Schwartz functions φ , whose Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}$ vanishes at the origin along with all its derivatives. The dual space $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n) = F\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equipped with the countable set of norms

$$\|\psi\|_N = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0 \\ |k| \leq N}} \left[\max \left\{ \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}, \frac{1}{|x|} \right\} \right]^N |\mathcal{D}^k \psi(x)|, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{D}^k \psi(x) = \frac{\partial^{|k|}}{\partial x_1^{k_1} \dots \partial x_n^{k_n}} \psi(x)$, $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_n$. Thus the space Φ can be equipped with the dual topology. The symbols $\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Psi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stand for the spaces of distributions on $\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ correspondingly. Note, that (see [21], [11], [12]) the space $\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and a Schwartz function $\varphi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) x^k d\mu(x) = 0, \quad |k| = 0, 1, \dots$$

Here $x^k = x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and $d\mu(x)$ stands for Lebesgue volume measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

THEOREM 2.1. ([21], [11]) *The function g , that corresponds to the distribution $g \in \Psi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a multiplier in $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and for each multi-index k there exist $\nu(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive constant $c(k)$ such that*

$$|\mathcal{D}^k g(x)| \leq c(k) \left[\max \left\{ \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}, \frac{1}{|x|} \right\} \right]^{\nu(k)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let $W_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote Wiener ring, that is, the class of Fourier transforms of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions. Set $W_\varepsilon \varphi(x) = (W(\cdot, \varepsilon) * \varphi)(x)$, where $W(x, \varepsilon)$ stands for the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel: $W(x, \varepsilon) = (4\pi\varepsilon)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\varepsilon}\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Finally, set $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu(x)$.

3. The operator \mathcal{M}^α on functions $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

The problem of inversion in the framework of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and description of the image $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is tightly connected to establishing $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ estimates for the corresponding potential operators. As it was noted, exact description of pairs $(1/p, 1/q)$ for which the operator \mathcal{M}^α is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, was given in [27] (see also [26], [28] for the case $\frac{n-1}{2} < \Re \alpha < n$). For the sake of completeness, we provide here this result slightly reformulating it for our purposes.

THEOREM 3.1. ([27]) *Let $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$. Then the operator \mathcal{M}^α is bounded:*

1. *from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ if and only if $\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\Re \alpha}{n}$ and either $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1$, $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{n}{q} \leq \Re \alpha - \frac{n-1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geq 1$, $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \Re \alpha + \frac{n-1}{2}$;*
2. *from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ if and only if $\frac{n+1}{2} - \Re \alpha < \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\Re \alpha}{n}$;*
3. *from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\Re \alpha > \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $\Re \alpha = \frac{n+1}{2}$, $\Im \alpha \neq 0$;*
4. *from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$ if and only if $\frac{\Re \alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{p} < \Re \alpha - \frac{n-1}{2}$.*

The operator \mathcal{M}^α is of weak $(1, n/(n - \Re\alpha))$ - type for $n/2 \leq \Re\alpha < n$ and weak $(1, 1/((n + 1)/2 - \Re\alpha))$ - type for $n/2 \leq \Re\alpha < (n + 1)/2$.

Although establishing $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ estimates is of an interests in its own right, it can be done only for a certain range of parameters (as in the theorem above). For a wider range of parameters we can provide $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ estimates. This still would be sufficient for our further purposes – obtaining the inversion formula and describing the image $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $0 \leq \Re\alpha \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{\Re\alpha}$, $1 \leq r, s \leq \infty$. The operator \mathcal{M}^α is bounded:*

1. *from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \neq 1$ if $\frac{1}{s} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\Re\alpha}{n}$ and*

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1, \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n}{r} \leq \Re\alpha - \frac{n-1}{2}; \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} \geq 1, \quad \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \leq \Re\alpha + \frac{n-1}{2}; \quad (3.1)$$

2. *from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if $\frac{n+1}{2} - \Re\alpha < \frac{1}{r} < 1$, $\frac{1}{s} < 1 - \frac{\Re\alpha}{n}$.*

P r o o f. Let as above $\chi(r)$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\chi(r) = 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $\chi(r) = 1$, for $r \geq 2$. Write \mathcal{M}^α in the form $\mathcal{M}^\alpha f = \mathcal{M}_0^\alpha f + \mathcal{M}_\infty^\alpha f$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha, \mathcal{M}_\infty^\alpha$ - operators with the symbols $m_\alpha^0(|\xi|) = \chi(|\xi|)|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|}$, $m_\alpha^\infty(|\xi|) = (1 - \chi(|\xi|))|\xi|^{-\alpha}e^{i|\xi|}$ respectively. The operator \mathcal{M}_0^α is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq r \leq \infty$, $r \neq 1$, $p \neq 1$, for p, r satisfying (3.1). Indeed, Theorem 4.2 from [16] implies $\chi(|\xi|)|\xi|^{-\Re\alpha}e^{i|\xi|} \in M_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for such p, r . Since $|\xi|^{-i\Im\alpha} \in M_p^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, we have $m_\alpha^0(|\xi|) \in M_p^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $m_\alpha^0(|\xi|) \in M_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\frac{n+1}{2} - \Re\alpha < \frac{1}{r} < 1, \quad p = 1, \quad \frac{n-1}{2} < \Re\alpha \leq \frac{n}{2}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{n-1}{2} + \Re\alpha, \quad 1 < p \leq r \leq 2, \quad \Re\alpha \neq \frac{n}{2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here we used the fact the translation invariant operator with the symbol $mn \in M_r^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a composition of those with the symbols $m \in M_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \in M_r^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for either $p \leq r \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq r \leq q$ (see [14]).

Due to convexity and duality arguments from (3.2), (3.3) we have that \mathcal{M}_0^α is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where p, q satisfy (3.1) with the exception $\frac{1}{q} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\Re\alpha}{n}$, but this case is covered by Theorem 3.1.

Consider $\mathcal{M}_\infty^\alpha$. Let first $\Re\alpha \neq \frac{n}{2}$ and $h(x)$ is a $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - function satisfying $h(x) = 1$, $|x| \leq 3$. According to the Sobolev theorem ([14]),

$|\xi|^{-\alpha} \in M_p^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\Re \alpha}{n}$, and due to the Mikhlin multiplier theorem ([14], [4]) we have $h(\xi)e^{i|\xi|} \in M_p^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. As above, we have (at first for $q \leq 2$ and then by duality for all q) that $m_\alpha^\infty(|\xi|) \in M_r^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $r \leq p$, and $m_\alpha^\infty(|\xi|) \in M_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \geq q$, where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\Re \alpha}{n}$.

Let now $\Re \alpha = \frac{n}{2}$. Since $m_\alpha^\infty(|\xi|) \in L^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, applying the Young-Hausdorff inequality: $\|Fu\|_q \leq (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2q}} \|u\|_p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we obtain that $F^{-1}m_\alpha^\infty \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > 2$, and hence the operator $\mathcal{M}_\infty^\alpha$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > 2$. The theorem is now proved. ■

Given $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$ let the symbol $\Delta(\alpha)$ stand for the set of p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, such that the operator \mathcal{M}^α is bounded either from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ according to Theorems 3.1, 3.2. So,

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \{p \in [1, \infty] : \frac{\Re \alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{p} \leq 1\}, & \frac{n-1}{2} < \Re \alpha < n, \\ \{p \in [1, \infty] : \frac{\Re \alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\Re \alpha}{n-1}\}, & \frac{n(n-1)}{2(2n-1)} \leq \Re \alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \{p \in [1, \infty] : \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\Re \alpha}{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\Re \alpha}{n-1}\}, & 0 \leq \Re \alpha < \frac{n(n-1)}{2(2n-1)}. \end{cases}$$

Now for $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$, $p \in \Delta(\alpha)$ the operator \mathcal{M}^α (defined on $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$) can be extended for $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For either $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$, $p \notin \Delta(\alpha)$, or $\Re \alpha \geq n$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we can also define the operator \mathcal{M}^α on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ treating it in the sense of $\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ distribution and considering $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as element of $\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Set $\langle \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi, \omega \rangle = \langle \varphi, (\mathcal{M}^\alpha)^* \omega \rangle$, $\omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)^* \omega = \overline{\mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \equiv \mathcal{M}^{\bar{\alpha}} \omega$. This definition is correct: since $|\xi|^\alpha e^{i|\xi|}$ is a multiplier in $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then according to the Gelfand-Shilov theorem ([1]) the distribution $\Omega_\alpha \equiv F^{-1}|\xi|^\alpha e^{i|\xi|}$ is a convolutor in $\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (that is the mapping $\omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega_\alpha * \omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous in $\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

Note that for $(n+1)/2 < \Re \alpha < n$ the operator \mathcal{M}^α is the integral operator

$$\mathcal{M}^\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega_\alpha(y) f(x-y) d\mu(y), \quad f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with the kernel

$$\Omega_\alpha(x) = \frac{i^{n-\alpha} \Gamma(n-\alpha)}{2^{n-1} \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)} F\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}, \frac{n-\alpha+1}{2}; \frac{n}{2}; |x|^2\right), \quad (3.4)$$

where $F(a, b; c; d)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function. For $|x| > 1$ the right-hand side in (3.4) is understood as analytic continuation. To calculate the kernel, we apply the Bochner formula for the Fourier transform of a radial function (see [10], p. 485). For the remained "regular" values of α ($0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$) we refer to the analytic continuation of the above integral representation, given in [27].

4. Inversion of \mathcal{M}^α in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let

$$k_{\alpha,\varepsilon}(x) = F^{-1} \left(|\xi|^\alpha e^{-i|\xi|} \exp\{-\varepsilon|\xi|^2\} \right) (|x|). \quad (4.1)$$

In the framework of approximative operators method (see [2]), set

$$\mathcal{K}^\alpha f = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} * f. \quad (4.2)$$

The limit in (4.2) is understood either in the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - norm, or in the sense of almost everywhere convergence.

Note that the function under the Fourier transform in the right-hand side (4.1) (redefined at the origin by continuity) belongs to the Wiener ring $W_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (use results from [13]). This function also belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, $k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $\Re \alpha \geq 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq r, s \leq \infty$. If $f = \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$, $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ almost everywhere or in the sense of the $\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - distributions, then*

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f = W_\varepsilon \varphi. \quad (4.3)$$

P r o o f. Applying the Fubini theorem we have $\langle \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f, \omega \rangle = \langle f, \overline{\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \langle f, \overline{k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} * \omega} \rangle$, $\omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a sequence $k_\delta \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} - k_\delta\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, when $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Obviously, $k_\delta * \omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \overline{k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} * \omega} \rangle &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \langle f, \overline{k_\delta * \omega} \rangle = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \langle \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi, \overline{k_\delta * \omega} \rangle = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \langle \varphi, \overline{\mathcal{M}^\alpha (k_\delta * \omega)} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \langle \varphi, \overline{k_\delta * \mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \langle \varphi, \overline{\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \langle \varphi, W_\varepsilon \omega \rangle = \langle W_\varepsilon \varphi, \omega \rangle, \quad \omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f \stackrel{(\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n))}{=} W_\varepsilon \varphi.$$

Since two locally summable functions (distributions from $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$), that coincide in $\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, may differ only by a polynomial, we have $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f(x) = W_\varepsilon \varphi(x)$ almost everywhere $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here we noted that $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $W_\varepsilon \varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence cannot "contain" a polynomial. The proof is complete. ■

REMARK 4.2. If $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$, $p \in \Delta(\alpha)$, then (4.3) is true for $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi = W_\varepsilon \varphi$, $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

According to above said we establish the following inversion formula.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $\Re\alpha \geq 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq r, s \leq \infty$. If $f(x) = \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi(x)$ almost everywhere for $0 \leq \Re\alpha < n$, $p \in \Delta(\alpha)$ or $f = \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$ in the sense of $\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ distributions, then*

$$\mathcal{K}^\alpha f = \varphi, \quad \varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the operator \mathcal{K}^α is given by (4.2) and the limit in (4.2) is understood in the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm for $p \neq \infty$, or in the sense of almost everywhere convergence.

P r o o f. It is known that $W_\varepsilon \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$, $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and in the sense of almost everywhere convergence for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. To end the proof, it is sufficient to refer to Lemma 4.1. ■

5. Representation of f in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$

In the next theorem we give sufficient conditions for a function $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be represented (in a sense of distributions, in general) as $\mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$ with some $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In some sense, this result is inverse to Theorem 4.3 and immediately provides the characterization of $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$, if the operator \mathcal{M}^α is understood in the regular sense, and the characterization of $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (more general $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap (L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$) otherwise.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $\Re\alpha \geq 0$, $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq r, s \leq \infty$.*

1. *If $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f$ is convergent in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $f \stackrel{(\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n))}{=} \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$, where $\varphi = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}^{(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f$.*
2. *If $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \|\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f\|_p < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f \stackrel{(\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n))}{=} \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$.*
3. *For $0 \leq \Re\alpha < n$, $p \in \Delta(\alpha)$ the equality $f = \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi$ in 2 and 3 above takes place almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n .*

P r o o f. Let $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{K}^\alpha f = \varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then for any $\omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi, \omega \rangle &= \langle \varphi, \overline{\mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \langle \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}^{(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f, \overline{\mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f, \overline{\mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle f, \overline{\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \langle f, W_\varepsilon \omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from the fact that convergence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that in $\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now for $\omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain

$$W_\varepsilon \omega \xrightarrow{(\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n))} \omega, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

The convergence of the Fourier images in the dual space $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies

$$\exp\{-\varepsilon|\xi|^2\}\widehat{\omega}(\xi) \xrightarrow{(\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n))} \widehat{\omega}(\xi), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

which can be checked directly using the definition of topology in $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence for $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\langle f, W_\varepsilon \omega \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, \omega \rangle$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\langle \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi, \omega \rangle = \langle f, \omega \rangle, \quad \omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (5.1)$$

Let $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \|\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f\|_p < \infty$. We have

$$|\langle \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f, \omega \rangle| \leq c \|\omega\|_{p'}, \quad 1/p + 1/q,$$

and due to the weak compactness of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$ there exist a sequence ε_k and a function $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_k}^\alpha f, \omega \rangle \rightarrow \langle \varphi, \omega \rangle, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \omega \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where as usual $\frac{1}{p'} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. For mentioned φ and for $\omega \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi, \omega \rangle = \langle \varphi, \overline{\mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0} \langle \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_k}^\alpha f, \overline{\mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega} \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0} \langle f, \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon_k}^\alpha \mathcal{M}^\alpha \omega \rangle = \langle f, \omega \rangle.$$

Hence (5.1) is true in this case as well.

For $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$, $p \in \Delta(\alpha)$, $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ according to Theorems 3.1, 3.2, we obtain $\mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with some $q, s \in [p, \infty]$. Now from $\mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi \stackrel{(\Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n))}{=} f$ we have $\mathcal{M}^\alpha \varphi(x) = f(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (analogously to the proof of Lemma 4.1). The proof is complete. ■

Let $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) (\subset \Phi'(\mathbb{R}^n))$ stand for the image of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the action of \mathcal{M}^α .

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $0 \leq \Re \alpha < n$, $p \in \Delta(\alpha)$, $p \neq 1$, $1 \leq r, s \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^\alpha(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) &= \{f(x) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{K}^\alpha f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \\ &= \{f(x) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \|\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f(x)\|_p < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{K}^\alpha f = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}^{(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^\alpha f$.

P r o o f. Follows by applying Theorems 4.3, 5.1 according to (4.3). ■

Acknowledgements. This work was made during author's research fellowship at the Freie University - Berlin on leave from Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, Russia. Partially supported by Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research, Project Nr. 07-01-00329-a.

I wish to thank Prof.Dr. H. Begehr for his warm hospitality during my stay at Freie University - Berlin.

References

- [1] I.M. Gelfand, G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, Vol. **2**: *Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions*. Acad. Press, N. York (1964).
- [2] M.M. Zavolzhenskii, V.A. Nogin, An approximation approach to the inversion of generalized Riesz potentials. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **324** (1992), 638-641.
- [3] P.I. Lizorkin, Generalized Liouville differentiation and the functional spaces $L_p^r(E_n)$. Imbedding theorems. *Matem. Sb.* **60** (1963), 325-353.
- [4] S.G. Mikhlin, On multipliers of Fourier integrals. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **109** (1956), 701-703.
- [5] S.G. Samko, On the spaces of Riesz potentials. *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **10** (1976), 1089-1117.
- [6] S.G. Samko, On a Characterization of the range $I^\alpha(L_p)$ of fractional integrals (Riesz potentials). *Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR, Matematika* **12** (1977), 329-334.
- [7] S.G. Samko, Generalized Riesz potentials and hypersingular integrals, their symbols and inversion. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **232** (1977), 528-531.
- [8] S.G. Samko, Generalized Riesz potentials and hypersingular integrals with homogeneous characteristics, their symbols and inversion. *Proc. Steklov Institute of Math.* **2** (1983), 173-243.
- [9] S.G. Samko, Inversion theorems for potential type integral transforms in \mathbb{R}^n and on S^{n-1} . *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **1** (1993), 145-163.
- [10] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach Sci. Publ., London - N. York (1993).
- [11] S.G. Samko, Test functions that vanish on a given set, and division by a function. *Mat. Zametki* **21** (1977), 677-689.
- [12] S.G. Samko, Density in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of spaces Φ_V of Lizorkin type. *Mat. Zametki* **31** (1982), 855-865.
- [13] S.G. Samko, G.S. Kostetskaya, Absolute integrability of Fourier integrals. *Vestnik RUDN, Matematika* **1** (1994), 138-168.
- [14] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L_p spaces. *Acta Mathematica* **104** (1960), 93-140.
- [15] A. Miyachi, On some estimates for the wave equation in L^p and H^p . *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA* **27** (1980), 331-354.
- [16] A. Miyachi, On some singular Fourier multipliers. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA* **28** (1981), 262-515.

- [17] V.A. Nogin, S.G. Samko, Method of approximating inverse operators and its application to inversion of potential type integral transforms. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **1** (1999), 1-14.
- [18] V.A. Nogin, S.G. Samko, Some applications of potentials and approximative inverse operators in multidimensional fractional calculus. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2** (1999), 205-228.
- [19] S.G. Samko, Inversion theorems for potential type integral transforms in \mathbb{R}^n and on S^{n-1} . *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **1** (1993), 145-163.
- [20] S.G. Samko, A new approach to the inversion of the Riesz potential operator. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **1** (1998), 225-245.
- [21] S.G. Samko, *Hypersingular Integrals and Their Applications*. Internat. Series "Analytical Methods and Special Functions", Vol. **5**, Taylor & Frances, London (2002).
- [22] E.M. Stein, The characterization of functions arising as potentials, I. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **67** (1961), 102-104.
- [23] E.M. Stein, The characterization of functions arising as potentials, II. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1962), 577-582.
- [24] A.N. Karapetyants, V.A. Nogin, Inversion of potential type operators with kernels possessing singularities on the sphere. *Izv. Nats. Acad. Nauk Armenii* **34** (1999), 55-69.
- [25] A.N. Karapetyants, E. de A. Ramirez, On the inversion of potential type operators with kernels having singularities on a sphere. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **3** (2000), 141-160.
- [26] A.N. Karapetyants, V.A. Nogin, L -characteristic of some potential type operators with oscillating symbols and singularities of kernels on sphere. *Russian Doklady Akadem. Nauk* **370** (2000), 300-302.
- [27] A.N. Karapetyants, On $L_p - L_q$ boundedness for convolutions with kernels having singularities on a sphere. *Studia Mathematica* **144** (2001), 121-134.
- [28] A.N. Karapetyants, V.A. Nogin, On L -characteristic of some potential type operators with oscillating symbols and singularities of the kernels on a sphere. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **92** (2001), 1-9.

Received: 18 June 2008

Department of Mathematics, Mechanics and Computer Sciences
 Southern Federal University
 Milchikova St. 8-a, Rostov-on-Don 344090, RUSSIA
 e-mail: alexeyk@aaanet.ru